L-band microwave observations over land surface using a two-dimensional synthetic aperture radiometer

Dongryeol Ryu,[1] Thomas J. Jackson,[1] Rajat Bindlish,[1] and David M. Le Vine[2]

Received 23 March 2007; revised 18 May 2007; accepted 29 May 2007; published 17 July 2007.

[1] Antenna size is a major factor that has limited realization of the potential capabilities of L-band (1.4 GHz) microwave radiometry to estimate surface soil moisture from space. However, emerging interferometric technology, called aperture synthesis, has been developed to address this limitation. The Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS) mission will apply the technique to monitor these parameters at a global-scale in the near future. The first airborne experiment using an aircraft prototype called the Two-Dimensional Synthetic Aperture Radiometer (2D-STAR) was performed during Soil Moisture Experiment in 2003 (SMEX03). To gain insight, the L-band brightness temperature data acquired by 2D-STAR in Alabama was compared with C-band data collected simultaneously by another aircraft instrument called the Polarimetric Scanning Radiometer (PSR), and also compared with surface soil moisture measurements from in-situ observations sites. Results show that there was fairly good radiometric sensitivity of the synthetic aperture radiometer to the soil moisture both in pasture and also in forest areas. The overall performance of the L-band synthetic aperture radiometer in the presence of vegetation appears to be better than the C-band real aperture radiometer. **Citation:** Ryu, D., T. J. Jackson, R. Bindlish, and D. M. Le Vine (2007), L-band microwave observations over land surface using a two-dimensional synthetic aperture radiometer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34*, L14401, doi:10.1029/2007GL030098.

1. Introduction

[2] Passive microwave remote sensing of the earth's surface can provide valuable information about the surface conditions in the hydrosphere, biosphere, and cryosphere. This includes soil moisture, ocean salinity, vegetation water content, and snow water equivalent [*Kelly et al.*, 2003; *Kerr et al.*, 2001; *Njoku et al.*, 2003]. Among these surface conditions, remotely sensed surface soil moisture plays an important role in understanding and modeling the exchanges of water and energy between land and atmosphere. Currently, the Advance Microwave Scanning Radiometer (AMSR-E) utilizes primarily X-band data in order to provide global coverage of surface soil moisture. However, it has been widely accepted that L-band (~1.4 GHz) is the optimal choice for remote-sensing of soil moisture, because the microwave signal from the surface is less attenuated by vegetation at lower frequencies [*Jackson et al.*, 1999; *Schmugge*, 1998]. In addition, the L-band signal is sensitive to the moisture content in a thicker layer of the soil column [*Njoku and Li*, 1999]. These capabilities of L-band radiometry have motivated the Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS) mission by the European Space Agency (ESA) [*Kerr et al.*, 2001].

[3] However, because of the long wavelength (21 cm at 1.413 GHz), antenna size is a major factor limiting passive remote sensing from space at L-band. The radiometer on SMOS will employ the new interferometric technology, aperture synthesis, designed to help overcome this limitation. In aperture synthesis, an array of small antennas is used to achieve the spatial resolution of a large antenna [*Skou and Le Vine*, 2006]. SMOS will be the first spaceborne radiometer deploying this technology to monitor the surface of the Earth.

[4] The technology has been demonstrated in experiments with airborne instruments. One of the first instruments to employ aperture synthesis in two dimensions is the 2D-STAR [*Le Vine et al.*, 2007]. 2D-STAR operates at L-band and shares many common features with SMOS (see Table 1). The first field experiment using the 2D-STAR was performed as part of the Soil Moisture Experiment in 2003 (SMEX03). During SMEX03, 2D-STAR was flown onboard the NASA P3B aircraft over four regional-scale sites (approximately 50 km × 100 km) located in Alabama, Georgia, and Oklahoma during periods of June and July, 2003. The Polarimetric Scanning Radiometer (PSR) was mounted on the same aircraft adjacent to the 2D-STAR and collected C- and X-band data simultaneously with the 2D-STAR over the same regions. The main objective of the PSR in SMEX03 was to simulate and validate C- and X-band brightness temperature from AMSR-E [*Jackson et al.*, 2005]. The concurrent operation of the 2D-STAR and PSR provided a unique opportunity to compare the performance of the L-band synthetic aperture radiometer with a C-/X-band real aperture radiometer. In this paper, the brightness temperatures from 2D-STAR and PSR will be compared with in-situ soil moisture data collected from a pasture and a forest site in order to examine their sensitivities to the surface wetness under light and dense vegetation conditions. The brightness temperature is the conventional product from radiometers, and as a first approximation it is inversely proportional to soil moisture. The implications of the comparison for the future SMOS mission and the potential benefit of L-band data from SMOS over currently operating spaceborne C-/X-band instruments will be discussed.

2. Data and Methods

2.1. Two-Dimensional Synthetic Aperture Radiometer (2D-STAR)

[5] The 2D-STAR was developed under NASA's Instrument Incubator Program to demonstrate the potential of

[1]Hydrology and Remote Sensing Laboratory, U.S. Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service, Beltsville, Maryland, USA.
[2]Instrument Science Branch, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA.

Copyright 2007 by the American Geophysical Union.
0094-8276/07/2007GL030098

Table 1. Instrument Facts of 2D-STAR and SMOS

Contents	2D-STAR	SMOS
Frequency	1.413 GHz	1.413 GHz
Polarization	H and V	H and V
Bandwidth	20 MHz	19 MHz
Integration time	0.2 seconds	1.2 seconds
Number of receivers	21	69
Receiver spacing	10.6 cm (0.5λ)	18.87 cm (0.89λ)
Tilt angle	~0°	~32.5°
View angle range	0°–40°	0°–50°
Array type	+	Y
Platform	Aircraft	Satellite
Altitude	7.7 km	763 km
Footprint resolution	~800 m	~50 km

two-dimensional aperture synthesis for observing surface variables such as soil moisture [*Le Vine et al.*, 2007]. Aperture synthesis is a relatively new technique that has been explored as a solution to the limitations on antenna size for low-frequency radiometry from space [*Ruf et al.*, 1988]. Synthetic aperture radiometers utilize an array of small antennas and signal processing to achieve the high spatial resolution of a single large antenna. Due to the large field of view of the small antennas, mechanical scanning of the antenna array is not required. An one-dimensional L-band interferometric radiometer, the Electronically Scanned Thinned Array Radiometer (ESTAR), was successfully demonstrated for mapping surface soil moisture at regional scales [*Jackson et al.*, 1999; *Le Vine et al.*, 2001]. Flexibility in the antenna-array configuration is limited in the 1-D array. More flexibility, including more highly thinned arrays, is possible with synthesis in two dimensions which makes it more desirable for remote sensing from space [*Skou and Le Vine*, 2006].

[6] The 2D-STAR instrument is composed of a fully populated 11 × 11 array of small square antennas, each of which is 6.86 × 6.86 cm^2. Each antenna is dual polarized and separated by 0.5 wavelength (~10.6 cm at L-band) from adjacent antennas. Of the possible 11 × 11 elements, 21 antennas along the principle axes, which is a "+" shape configuration, were activated for data collection during SMEX03. Complex correlations of the signals, calculated from all the possible pairs of the 21 antennas, are transformed to form an image with a circular footprint on the surface. The radius of the circle is limited to points within a cone angle of about 40 degrees from nadir to avoid distortion at larger angles. An image is produced every 0.2 seconds during the experiment. At the nominal flight altitude of 7.7 km utilized in SMEX03, the image processing results in a 10 km swath and a resolution of approximately 800 m. Other features of the instrument are summarized in Table 1. The instrument is described in more detail by *Le Vine et al.* [2007].

[7] Each circular footprint of 2D-STAR is composed of numerous pixels of the brightness temperature value. The view-angle of the pixels increases from 0° to 40° from the center. For this study, we used data with view-angles ranging from 30° to 40° in order to reduce the effect of variable view-angles and to facilitate the comparisons to the PSR. The selected data was mapped on 800-m-scale grids where the value of each grid was calculated by averaging all the pixels included in the grid.

2.2. Soil Moisture Experiment in 2003 (SMEX03)

[8] SMEX03 was conducted over four regional-scale sites (~50 km × 100 km) in Oklahoma (2 sites), Alabama (1 site), and Georgia (1 site) during periods of June and July, 2003. A main objective of SMEX03 was validating AMSR-E brightness temperatures and soil moisture products using airborne and ground-based observations collected over a wide range of land surface conditions. Among the four sites, surface wetness conditions exhibited the largest range in Alabama during the experiment period as the result of two intermediate-to-heavy rainfall events. In addition, the Alabama site has a variety of land-cover types and topographic conditions, ranging from flat pastures to hilly forests. In this paper, airborne and in-situ data collected in Alabama during SMEX03 will be analyzed.

[9] Figure 1b is a Landsat Thematic Mapper false-color image (blue, band 2; green, band 3; red, band 4) of the Alabama site. The image is a composite of two images obtained on April 19 and May 14, 2000. Vegetated areas are colored red in this image. The Alabama study area includes agricultural (40%) and forested lands. Forested land is located mostly in the eastern half and northwestern corner of the study area, and the agricultural land spans from the southwestern to the northeastern portions of the region. The large feature in blue on the southeastern corner is the Tennessee River. The eastern part of the area is covered by dark-reddish brown silt loam with moderate infiltration rates. The topsoil of the western part is primarily fine sandy loam.

[10] The agricultural land is composed of pasture and crops (corn, soybeans, cotton, etc.), and has a smooth, gently rolling topography. Local tillage practices created a regular micro-topography in the crop fields. Forested areas are characterized by significant relief and the dominant species are pines and oaks. Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) over the forest canopy ranges from about 0.60 to 0.97, which would translate to 4.2–12 kg/m^2 of the canopy water content when the EVI versus Equivalent Water Thickness (EWT) given by *Cheng et al.* [2006] is extrapolated. The EVI around the Hytop SCAN site is 0.647, which is about a 5.2 kg/m^2 canopy water content. However, the water contents should be interpreted with caution, because the EVI-derived canopy water content varies with the canopy architecture [*Cheng et al.*, 2006]. The MODIS EVI data used here was a 16-day composite image acquired from June 26 to July 11, 2003.

[11] During the SMEX03, the NASA Orion P-3B aircraft, equipped with 2D-STAR and PSR, collected data over the Alabama site on five days: June 25, 27, 29, 30, and July 2. The aircraft flew 4 to 5 flight lines in an east-west direction. The time required to map the Alabama site was about 1.25 hours. The flights on June 25 and 27 started at about 16:00 local time; the flight on June 29 began mapping at 13:30 and the flights on June 30 and July 2 began mapping at about 11:30.

[12] There were two major rainfall events in Alabama: one between June 29 and June 30; and the other between June 30 and July 2. Both rainfall events provided moisture over most of the study area.



Figure 1. (a) The location of the Alabama study area. (b) Landsat TM false-color image of the Alabama site. Vegetated area is colored in red and the white circles indicate the locations of SCAN sites used for this study. (c, d, e, f) Horizontally and vertically polarized brightness temperature images acquired by 2D-STAR on June 25 and June 30, 2003.

2.3. Sensitivity Study

[13] In order to examine the sensitivities of the remotely sensed data to the surface wetness, brightness temperature data from 2D-STAR and PSR were compared with ground-based measurements of soil moisture from two in-situ stations. The stations are chosen from the USDA Soil Climate Analysis Network (SCAN) (http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/scan) sites that were available within the study area. At each SCAN site soil temperature, salinity, and volumetric moisture content are measured at five different depths on an hourly basis. The soil moisture contents in the top 5 cm are used for comparison with the microwave data. The first station (SCAN #1 in Figure 1b) is located in the pasture land in Newby Farm, and the second station (SCAN #2 in Figure 1b) is in the pine forest in Hytop. The ground data from each SCAN site are compared with the 2D-STAR/PSR pixels that included the location. The 2D-STAR and PSR data were gridded identically as geo-referenced 800-m by 800-m pixels.

3. Results

[14] Figures 1c, 1d, 1e, and 1f show some examples of 2D-STAR brightness temperature images chosen from the total 10 images (5 (days) $\times$ 2 (horizontal and vertical polarizations)) acquired in Alabama during SMEX03. Figures 1c and 1d were obtained on June 25, when the entire study area was the driest during the experiment period, while Figures 1e and 1f were taken on June 30, just after a major rainfall event. Lower brightness temper-



Figure 2. Comparisons of the daily means of horizontally (blue lines) and vertically (red lines) polarized brightness temperature collected from 2D-STAR (solid lines) and PSR (dashed lines) with the surface soil moisture data (green solid lines) at two SCAN sites, located in (a) pasture and (b) pine forest.

atures on June 30 occurred because as the soil becomes wetter, the emissivity of the soil decreases, resulting in lower emission. Cold (blue) pixels in the southeastern corner correspond to the Tennessee River. Horizontally polarized brightness temperatures (TB-H, the images in the left column) were generally lower than temperatures at vertical polarization (TB-V, the images on the right column). Horizontally polarized data is more sensitive to the surface moisture conditions [*Ulaby et al.*, 1986], thus brightness temperature at horizontal polarization is preferred for the remote sensing of surface soil moisture. Observed brightness temperatures in forest areas are generally higher and less variable than crop or pasture fields because of the increased attenuation and contribution of the canopy to the measurement. However, we did note a decrease in brightness temperature over the forest area after the rainfall event.

[15] Figure 2 compares TB at vertical and horizontal polarization from 2D-STAR and PSR with hourly surface soil moisture measured at two SCAN sites. Overall, the brightness temperatures of C-band from the PSR are higher than those of L-band from the 2D-STAR. This is consistent with the higher emissivity expect at C-band (7.32 GHz) compared with L-band (1.413 GHz) [*Ulaby et al.*, 1986]. The Newby Farm SCAN site shown in Figure 2a (SCAN #1 of Figure 1b) is in a flat pasture where the C-band signal is known to show a reasonable response to the surface wetness [*Jackson et al.*, 2005]. Both the PSR and 2D-STAR responded in a similar way to the wetting of the surface. After each of the rainfall events, TB-V decreased by a smaller amount than TB-H, and the change in TB-H from the 2D-STAR was slightly better than TB-H from the PSR. However, due to the narrow range of soil moisture variation around the moderate wetness (~25%vol) observed for the Newby Farm site, the difference in the sensitivities was marginal. The Hytop SCAN site in Figure 2b (SCAN #2 of Figure 1b) is, on the other hand, in the middle of the forest area, where shortleaf- and loblolly-pine are the dominant overstory species. Considering the dynamic changes of the surface wetness (between 23 and 40 in %vol/vol) observed at the Hytop site, the TB-H and TB-V from the PSR showed a rather weak response to these moisture changes. However, the brightness temperature measured by the 2D-STAR showed a remarkably better response to the surface wetting recorded at the Hytop station. However, it is noted that the V-pol TB at L-band didn't respond to the rainfall event on June 27. Assuming the TB-V data on June 27 to be an anomaly, these results indicate that L-band synthetic aperture radiometer can be used for monitoring soil moisture content under forest canopy conditions present at the Hytop site.

[16] Since the 2D-STAR and PSR data were mapped on the same grid, the values from each pixel of the 2D-STAR/PSR images can be compared directly. The scatter plots in Figure 3 compare the TB-H and TB-V of the 2D-STAR and PSR on June 25 and June 30, 2003. The spread of data in the plots along each axis can be used as a measure of the dynamic ranges of the spatial brightness temperature data from the 2D-STAR and PSR. The TB-H from the 2D-STAR and PSR showed positive-linear relationship with the correlation coefficients of 0.41 on June 25 and 0.33 on June 30, respectively. However, the TB-V didn't show any notable correlations, which was caused by the low sensitivity of the TB-V from the PSR and polarization-dependent contamination by radio-frequency interference (RFI) [*Jackson et al.*, 2005]. The mean differences of the brightness temperatures between the 2D-STAR and PSR were 17.7 K (TB-H) and 23.6 K (TB-V) on June 25, and 27.2 K (TB-H) and 33.2 K (TB-V) on June 30, respectively. These mean differences can be used as a useful reference in the future when the SMOS produces L-band data and the L-band TB is compared with C-band data from the AMSR-E.

4. Discussion

[17] In this study, brightness temperature images from the first airborne experiment utilizing a two-dimensional synthetic aperture radiometer were presented and compared with surface soil moisture data from an in-situ observation network. The results confirm that the radiometric signal received by an L-band synthetic aperture radiometer is more sensitive to soil moisture than a C-band real aperture radiometer and that this advantage in sensitivity becomes more pronounced as vegetation canopy increases. The observed sensitivities of the 2D-STAR provide encouraging support for the future SMOS mission because: (1) it demonstrates that radiometric sensitivity of the reconstructed images are sufficient to monitor realistic changes in soil moisture [*Skou and Le Vine*, 2006];



Figure 3. Comparison of L-band data from 2D-STAR with C-band data from PSR on June 25 and June 30 in 2003.

and (2) it also demonstrated the potential for mapping soil moisture under dense vegetation (i.e., vegetation water content >5 kg/m^2) which has been a concern for the SMOS mission [*Kerr et al.*, 2001]. Moreover, considering the instrumental similarities of AMSR-E and PSR, and SMOS and 2D-STAR, we can expect improved sensing performance in soil moisture retrieval over a wide range of vegetation conditions from SMOS mission as compared to the currently operating spaceborne radiometers.

[18] In addition to the benefits in terms of antenna deployment mentioned in the introduction, the synthetic aperture radiometer can obtain TB over a range of view angles as a snapshot. The multi-angular brightness temperature data can be used to reduce the number of parameters in soil moisture retrieval algorithms [*Wigneron et al.*, 2000]. 2D-STAR did produce TB with view angles varying from 0° to 40°. More in-depth studies about the relationship between the view angle and TB-H/-V are planned.

[19] **Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by the NASA Terrestrial Hydrology Program.

References

Cheng, Y. B., P. J. Zarco-Tejada, D. Riaño, C. A. Rueda, and S. L. Ustin (2006), Estimating vegetation water content with hyperspectral data for different canopy scenarios: Relationships between AVIRIS and MODIS indexes, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, *105*, 354–366.

Jackson, T. J., D. M. Le Vine, A. Y. Hsu, A. Oldak, P. J. Starks, C. T. Swift, J. D. Isham, and M. Haken (1999), Soil moisture mapping at regional scales using microwave radiometry: The Southern Great Plains Hydrology experiment, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *37*(5), 2136–2151.

Jackson, T. J., et al. (2005), Polarimetric scanning radiometer C- and X-band microwave observations during SMEX03, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *43*(11), 2418–2430.

Kelly, R. E., A. C. Chang, L. Tsang, and J. L. Foster (2003), A prototype AMSR-E global snow area and snow depth algorithm, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *41*(2), 230–242.

Kerr, Y. H., P. Waldteufel, J. Wigneron, J. Martinuzzi, J. Font, and M. Berger (2001), Soil moisture retrieval from space: The soil moisture and ocean salinity mission (SMOS), *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *39*(8), 1729–1735.

Le Vine, D. M., T. J. Jackson, C. T. Swift, M. Haken, and S. Bidwell (2001), ESTAR measurements during the Southern Great Plains experiment (SGP99), *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *39*(8), 1680–1685.

Le Vine, D. M., T. J. Jackson, and M. Haken (2007), Initial images of the synthetic aperture radiometer 2D-STAR, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, in press.

Njoku, E. G., and L. Li (1999), Retrieval of land surface parameters using passive microwave measurements at 6–18 GHz, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *37*(1), 79–93.

Njoku, E. G., T. J. Jackson, V. Lakshmi, T. K. Chan, and S. V. Nghiem (2003), Soil moisture retrieval from AMSR-E, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *41*(2), 215–229.

Ruf, C. S., C. T. Swift, A. B. Tanner, and D. M. Le Vine (1988), Interferometric synthetic aperture microwave radiometry for the remote sensing of the Earth, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, *26*(5), 597–611.

Schmugge, T. (1998), Applications of passive microwave observations of surface soil moisture, *J. Hydrol.*, *212–213*, 188–197.

Skou, N., and D. Le Vine (2006), *Microwave Radiometer Systems: Design and Analysis*, 222 pp., Artech House, Norwood, Mass.

Ulaby, F. T., R. K. Moore, and A. K. Fung (1986), *Microwave Remote Sensing: Active and Passive*, vol. 3, *From Theory to Applications*, 2162 pp., Artech House, Norwood, Mass.

Wigneron, J. P., P. Waldteufel, A. Chanzy, J. C. Calvet, and Y. Kerr (2000), Two- dimensional microwave interferometer retrieval capabilities over land surfaces (SMOS mission), *Remote Sens. Environ.*, *73*, 270–282.

R. Bindlish, T. J. Jackson, and D. Ryu, Hydrology and Remote Sensing Laboratory, USDA Agricultural Research Service, Building 007, Room 104, BARC-West, Beltsville, MD 20705-2350, USA. (tom.jackson@ars.usda.gov)

D. M. Le Vine, Instrument Science Branch, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 975, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA.